



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/483,883	01/18/2000	Mitsunobu Ono	P/16-251 8978		
75	90 08/09/2004	/.	EXAMI	NER	
Steven I Weisburd			an, shawn s		
Ostrolenk Faber Gerb & Soffen LLP 1180 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBÉR		
New YORK, N	Y 10036-8403		2613		
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004	16	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•							
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/483,88	33	ONO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Shawn S		2613			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on the	e cover sneet with the	correspondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failur Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (i period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even munication. 30) days, a reply within the stat tatutory period will apply and w y will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be t utory minimum of thirty (30) da ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro lication to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			,				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>24 May 2004</i> .					
2a)⊠	n)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 4-12 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-8 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 4 and 9-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restri	withdrawn from consi					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.					
10)		: a) accepted or b)					
	Applicant may not request that any object			• •			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to		-, ,	•			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment	(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. As per Applicant's instructions in Paper 15 as filed on 5/24/04, claim 4 has been amended.

Response to Remarks/Arguments

2. Applicants' arguments with respect to amended claim 4 has been carefully considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection incorporating the previously cited prior art references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al (5,627,583) in view of Kato (4,831,444).

Regarding claim 4, Nakamura et al discloses an endoscope apparatus, comprising:

a video processing circuit (Figs. 2 and 4, 16) for having a drive signal generation function (21) for driving the image pickup device, and a signal processing function (13, 14, or 23) for outputting the standard video signal by processing the output signal from the image pickup device; and

an endoscope function adjusting circuit (Figs. 2 and 4, 16; Fig. 8, 70) comprising a function modifying circuit (24, 25, 26, or 29), connected to the video processing circuit,

Art Unit: 2613

for modifying at least one of the drive signal processing function (21) and the signal processing function (13, 14, or 23) in accordance with the endoscope having the image pick-up device therein;

wherein the endoscope comprises a delay amount adjusting circuit (Fig. 8, 91) for adjusting signal delay.

Nakamura et al fails to disclose an endoscopic function adjusting circuit comprising a delay amount adjusting circuit for canceling the effect of a <u>signal delay</u> taking place in a signal cable connecting the image pick-up device to the signal processing circuit, by adjusting timings of drive signals of the solid-state image pickup device.

However, Kato teaches a well known endoscopic function adjusting circuit (Figs. 8B and 14A, element 12) comprising a delay amount adjusting circuit (36) for canceling the effect of a signal delay taking place in a signal cable (16) connecting the image pickup device (10) to the signal processing circuit (12) by adjusting timings of drive signals (22) of the solid-state image pickup device.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing an endoscope apparatus as taught by Nakamura et al to incorporate the Kato's teaching as above for synchronization of a timing signal, thereby adverse effect of delay and deterioration of signal during transmission through the signal line are compensated.

Regarding claim 9, Kato teaches signal processing circuit as being usable with a plurality of insert sections having different respective lengths and correspondingly different internal delay amounts (col. 2, lines 5-12).

Regarding claim 10, Nakamura et al discloses the signal processing circuit as being usable with a plurality of solid-state imaging devices having different respective number of pixels (Figs. 1(a)-1(b), 11-12); col. 2, lines 11-15).

Regarding claim 11, Nakamura et al discloses a solid-state image pickup device (Fig. 2, 11 or 12) mounted at the end of an insertion section of an endoscope;

Art Unit: 2613

a signal processing circuit (Figs. 2 and 4, 16) for driving the image pickup device and for producing a standard video signal in response to an output signal from the image pickup device;

wherein the signal processing circuit comprises the video processing circuit (16) and the function adjusting circuit (Fig. 8, 70).

Regarding claim 12, Kato teaches controlling a wave shaping operation for wave shaping (compensating waveform deterioration) the output signal from the solid-state image pickup device such as CCD (col. 1, lines 31-40; col. 2, lines 5-12).

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to **Shawn S An** whose telephone number is 703-305-0099. The Examiner can normally be reached on Flex hours (10).
- 7. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2613

Page 5

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SSA

Primary Patent Examiner 8/6/04